REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action mailed March 24, 2008. Claims 1-4, 6, 8 and 9 have been amended herein. Claims 1-4, 6, 8 and 9 are pending and under consideration which is respectfully requested. No new matter has been added.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112

On page 2, item 3, the Office Action rejected claims 1-4, 6, 8 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 for allegedly lacking antecedent basis for the terms "a customer name" and "a server name."

Applicants have amended claim 1, 2, 8 and 9 to even more fully comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112. For example, claim 1, lines 17-18, have been amended to recite "referring to the customer used software list and obtaining **the** customer name" to further clarify which customer name is being referenced. Similarly, claim 2, lines 16-17, for example, have been amended to recite "obtaining, **from the customer used software list**, the customer name" for clarification purposes. Similar amendments have been made to claims 8 and 9.

Accordingly, Applicants submit that claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 now even more fully comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112, and therefore, respectfully request the rejection be withdrawn for claims 1-4, 6, 8 and 9.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 101

On page 2, item 5, the Office Action rejected claims 2-4 and 6 under U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is allegedly directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicants have amended claim 2 to recite "A computer readable **storage** encoded with a program" to prevent the Examiner's interpretation of the term "medium" as described on page 3, first paragraph of the Office Action.

In addition, Applicants have amended paragraph [0139] of the Specification to remove the recitation "The server 2201 produces a carrier signal to carry the programs and data and transmits the carrier signal to the information processing apparatus 2202 via any arbitrary transmission medium on the network."

Accordingly, Applicants submit that claim 2 is directed to statutory subject matter, and therefore, respectfully request the rejection be withdrawn for claims 2-4 and 6.

Serial No. 10/809,370

Allowable Subject Matter

On page 3, the Office Action stated that claims 1-4, 6, 8 and 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections above. In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and 35 U.S.C. § 101 are overcome, and thus, claims 1-4, 6, 8 and 9 are in a condition for allowance. Accordingly, favorable consideration is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Registration No. 28, 607

Date

1201 New York Avenue, N.W., 7th Floor

Washington, D.C. 20005

Telephone: (202) 434-1500 Facsimile: (202) 434-1501